To: Benjamin A. Costa(trademark@rcjlawgroup.com)

Subject: U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 97849313 - >|< DRAGONFLY

Sent: November 02, 2023 09:55:27 AM EDT

Sent As: tmng.notices@uspto.gov

Attachments

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) Office Action (Official Letter) About Applicant's Trademark Application

U.S. Application Serial No. 97849313

Mark: >|< DRAGONFLY

Correspondence Address:
BENJAMIN A. COSTA
RIDDER, COSTA & JOHNSTONE LLP
440 N BARRANCA AVE
#7550
COVINA CA 91723
UNITED STATES

Applicant: Dragonfly Digital Management, LLC

Reference/Docket No. N/A

Correspondence Email Address: trademark@rcjlawgroup.com

NONFINAL OFFICE ACTION

Response deadline. File a response to this nonfinal Office action within three months of the "Issue date" below to avoid <u>abandonment</u> of the application. Review the Office action and respond using one of the links to the appropriate electronic forms in the "How to respond" section below.

Request an extension. For a fee, applicant may <u>request one three-month extension</u> of the response deadline prior to filing a response. The request must be filed within three months of the "Issue date" below. If the extension request is granted, the USPTO must receive applicant's response to this letter within six months of the "Issue date" to avoid abandonment of the application.

Issue date: November 2, 2023

The referenced application has been reviewed by the assigned trademark examining attorney. Applicant must respond timely and completely to the issues below. 15 U.S.C. §1062(b); 37 C.F.R. §§2.62(a), 2.65(a); TMEP §§711, 718.03.

SEARCH OF USPTO DATABASE OF MARKS

The trademark examining attorney has searched the USPTO database of registered and pending marks and has found no conflicting marks that would bar registration under Trademark Act Section 2(d). 15 U.S.C. §1052(d); TMEP §704.02.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES:

- New Specimen Required
- Identification of Services
- Amended Mark Description Required

NEW SPECIMEN REQUIRED

Specimen does not show direct association between mark and services. Registration is refused because the specimen does not show a direct association between the mark and the services and fails to show the applied-for mark as actually used in commerce with the identified services in International Class 036. Trademark Act Sections 1 and 45, 15 U.S.C. §§1051, 1127; 37 C.F.R. §§2.34(a)(1)(iv), 2.56(a), (b)(2); TMEP §§904, 904.07(a), 1301.04(f)(ii), (g)(i). An application based on Trademark Act Section 1(a) must include a specimen showing the applied-for mark as actually used in commerce for each international class of services identified in the application or amendment to allege use. 15 U.S.C. §1051(a)(1); 37 C.F.R. §§2.34(a)(1)(iv), 2.56(a); TMEP §§904, 904.07(a).

When determining whether a mark is used in connection with the services in the application, a key consideration is the perception of the user. *In re JobDiva, Inc.*, 843 F.3d 936, 942, 121 USPQ2d 1122, 1126 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (citing *Lens.com, Inc. v. 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 686 F.3d 1376, 1381-82, 103 USPQ2d 1672, 1676 (Fed Cir. 2012)). A specimen must show the mark used in a way that would create in the minds of potential consumers a sufficient nexus or direct association between the mark and the services being offered. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.56(b)(2); *In re Universal Oil Prods. Co.*, 476 F.2d 653, 655, 177 USPQ2d 456, 457 (C.C.P.A. 1973); TMEP §1301.04(f)(ii).

To show a direct association, specimens consisting of advertising or promotional materials must (1) explicitly reference the services and (2) show the mark used to identify the services and their source. *In re The Cardio Grp.*, *LLC*, 2019 USPQ2d 227232, at *2 (TTAB 2019) (quoting *In re WAY Media*, *LLC*, 118 USPQ2d 1697, 1698 (TTAB 2016)); TMEP §1301.04(f)(ii). Although the exact nature of the services does not need to be specified in the specimen, there must be something that creates in the mind of the purchaser an association between the mark and the services. *In re Adair*, 45 USPQ2d 1211, 1215 (TTAB 1997) (quoting *In re Johnson Controls Inc.*, 33 USPQ2d 1318, 1320 (TTAB 1994)). To show a direct association, specimens showing the mark used in rendering the identified services need not explicitly refer to those services, but "there must be something which creates in the mind of the purchaser an association between the mark and the service activity." *In re The Cardio Grp.*, *LLC*, 2019 USPQ2d 227232, at *1 (TTAB 2019) (citing *In re WAY Media*, *LLC*, 118 USPQ2d 1697, 1698 (TTAB 2016)).

In the present case, the specimen does not show a direct association between the mark and services in that it does not consist of advertising materials or show the mark in the rendering of the services. The specimen shows biographies for applicant's managing partner on various platforms, but there is no clear connection between the mark and applicant's specified services. The specimen also includes a news article about applicant's purchase of a hedge fund, but again, there is no association between the mark and applicant's specified financial services. It is unclear from the specimen precisely what type of

services applicant provides under the mark. Thus, a new specimen is required.

Examples of specimens. Specimens for services must show a direct association between the mark and the services and include: (1) copies of advertising and marketing material, (2) a photograph of business signage or billboards, or (3) materials showing the mark in the sale, rendering, or advertising of the services. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.56(b)(2), (c); TMEP §1301.04(a), (h)(iv)(C). Any webpage printout or screenshot submitted as a specimen must include the webpage's URL and the date it was accessed or printed on the specimen itself, within the TEAS form that submits the specimen, or in a verified statement under 37 C.F.R. §2.20 or 28 U.S.C. §1746 in a later-filed response. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.56(c); TMEP §§904.03(i), 1301.04(a).

Response options. Applicant may respond to this refusal by satisfying one of the following for each applicable international class:

- (1) Submit a different specimen (a verified "substitute" specimen) that (a) was in actual use in commerce at least as early as the filing date of the application or prior to the filing of an amendment to allege use and (b) shows the mark in actual use in commerce for the services identified in the application or amendment to allege use. A "verified substitute specimen" is a specimen that is accompanied by the following statement made in a signed affidavit or supported by a declaration under 37 C.F.R. §2.20: "The substitute (or new, or originally submitted, if appropriate) specimen(s) was/were in use in commerce at least as early as the filing date of the application or prior to the filing of the amendment to allege use." The substitute specimen cannot be accepted without this statement.
- (2) Amend the filing basis to intent to use under Section 1(b) (which includes withdrawing an amendment to allege use, if one was filed), as no specimen is required before publication. This option will later necessitate additional fee(s) and filing requirements, including a specimen.

For an overview of the response options referenced above and instructions on how to satisfy these options using the online Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS) form, see the Specimen webpage.

IDENTIFICATION OF SERVICES

Particular wording in the identification of services is indefinite and must be clarified to specify the nature of the services with greater precision. See 37 C.F.R. §2.32(a)(6); TMEP §1402.01. Applicant must amend this wording to specify the common commercial or generic name of the services. See TMEP §1402.01. If the services have no common commercial or generic name, applicant must describe or explain the nature of the services using clear and succinct language. See id. Specifically, applicant must clarify that it provides financial market analysis, research, and reporting services. Applicant must also indicate that it provides online financial information.

The amended identification below contains suggestions in bold font that will correct the indefinite wording. Removed wording is indicated with a strikethrough.

Applicant may adopt the following identification, if accurate.

International Class 036: Strategic financial advisory services; Financial information and advisory services; Financial advisory and consultancy services; Financial planning and investment advisory services; Financial consulting services, namely, advising others regarding investments; Financial analysis, research, and reporting services; Financial market analysis, research, and reporting services; Providing online financial information in the fields of finance, decentralized finance, investments, cryptocurrency, and blockchain technologies; Venture capital services, namely, providing financing to emerging and start-up companies; Financial custody services, namely, maintaining possession of financial and digital financial assets for others for financial management purposes

Scope Advisory

Applicant's services may be clarified or limited, but may not be expanded beyond those originally itemized in the application or as acceptably amended. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.71(a); TMEP §1402.06. Applicant may clarify or limit the identification by inserting qualifying language or deleting items to result in a more specific identification; however, applicant may not substitute different services or add services not found or encompassed by those in the original application or as acceptably amended. *See* TMEP §1402.06(a)-(b). The scope of the services sets the outer limit for any changes to the identification and is generally determined by the ordinary meaning of the wording in the identification. TMEP §1402.06(b), 1402.07(a)-(b). Any acceptable changes to the services will further limit scope, and once services are deleted, they are not permitted to be reinserted. TMEP §1402.07(e).

For assistance with identifying and classifying goods and services in trademark applications, please see the USPTO's online searchable *U.S. Acceptable Identification of Goods and Services Manual. See* TMEP §1402.04.

AMENDED MARK DESCRIPTION REQUIRED

Applicant must submit an amended description of the mark that agrees with the mark on the drawing. 37 C.F.R. §2.37; *see* TMEP §§808.01, 808.02. The current description is inconsistent with the mark on the drawing and thus is inaccurate. 37 C.F.R. §2.37; *see* TMEP §§808.01, 808.02. Descriptions must be accurate and identify only those literal and design elements appearing in the mark. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.37; TMEP §§808.02, 808.03(d).

The following description is suggested, if accurate: The mark consists of the wording ">|< DRAGONFLY" in stylized font, with ">|<" forming a design of a dragonfly.

RESPONSE GUIDELINES

Please call or email the assigned trademark examining attorney with questions about this Office action. Although an examining attorney cannot provide legal advice, the examining attorney can provide additional explanation about the refusals and requirements in this Office action. *See* TMEP §§705.02, 709.06.

The USPTO does not accept emails as responses to Office actions; however, emails can be used for informal communications and are included in the application record. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§2.62(c), 2.191; TMEP §§304.01-.02, 709.04-.05.

How to respond. File a response form to this nonfinal Office action or file a request form for an

extension of time to file a response.

/Mallory Velten/
Mallory Velten
Trademark Examining Attorney
Law Office 123
(571) 272-2233
Mallory.Velten@uspto.gov

RESPONSE GUIDANCE

- Missing the deadline for responding to this letter will cause the application to <u>abandon</u>. A response or extension request must be received by the USPTO before 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time of the last day of the response deadline. Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS) <u>system availability</u> could affect an applicant's ability to timely respond. For help resolving technical issues with TEAS, email <u>TEAS@uspto.gov</u>.
- Responses signed by an unauthorized party are not accepted and can cause the application to abandon. If applicant does not have an attorney, the response must be signed by the individual applicant, all joint applicants, or someone with legal authority to bind a juristic applicant. If applicant has an attorney, the response must be signed by the attorney.
- If needed, **find contact information for the supervisor** of the office or unit listed in the signature block.

United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)

USPTO OFFICIAL NOTICE

Office Action (Official Letter) has issued on November 2, 2023 for U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 97849313

A USPTO examining attorney has reviewed your trademark application and issued an Office action. You must respond to this Office action to avoid your application abandoning. Follow the steps below.

- (1) Read the Office action. This email is NOT the Office action.
- (2) **Respond to the Office action by the deadline** using the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS). Your response, or extension request, must be received by the USPTO on or before 11:59 p.m. **Eastern Time** of the last day of the response deadline. Otherwise, your application will be <u>abandoned</u>. See the Office action itself regarding how to respond.
- (3) **Direct general questions** about using USPTO electronic forms, the USPTO <u>website</u>, the application process, the status of your application, and whether there are outstanding deadlines to the <u>Trademark Assistance Center (TAC)</u>.

After reading the Office action, address any question(s) regarding the specific content to the USPTO examining attorney identified in the Office action.

GENERAL GUIDANCE

- <u>Check the status</u> of your application periodically in the <u>Trademark Status & Document Retrieval (TSDR)</u> database to avoid missing critical deadlines.
- <u>Update your correspondence email address</u> to ensure you receive important USPTO notices about your application.
- Beware of trademark-related scams. Protect yourself from people and companies that
 may try to take financial advantage of you. Private companies may call you and pretend
 to be the USPTO or may send you communications that resemble official USPTO
 documents to trick you. We will never request your credit card number or social security
 number over the phone. Verify the correspondence originated from us by using your
 serial number in our database, TSDR, to confirm that it appears under the "Documents"
 tab, or contact the Trademark Assistance Center.
- Hiring a U.S.-licensed attorney. If you do not have an attorney and are not required to

have one under the trademark rules, we encourage you to hire a U.S.-licensed attorney specializing in trademark law to help guide you through the registration process. The USPTO examining attorney is not your attorney and cannot give you legal advice, but rather works for and represents the USPTO in trademark matters.